



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/651,328

08/28/2003

Amy H. Kang

5681-69401

7788

58467

7590

07/21/2009

MHKKG/SUN

P.O. BOX 398

AUSTIN, TX 78767

EXAMINER

WANG, RONGFA PHILIP

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2191

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/21/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent_docketing@intprop.com

ptomhkkkg@gmail.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/651,328	Applicant(s) KANG ET AL.	
	Examiner PHILIP WANG	Art Unit 2191	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PHILIP WANG. (3) Robert Kowert(39,255).

(2) Wei Zhen(SPE). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 7/7/2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Srivastatva(2005/0160431), H5E-A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant repesented proposed amendment to claim 1 and discussed how the proposed amendment would overcome the recited prior art. The examiner acknowledged the amendment has further detailed the invention and may possibly overcome the combined prior art, however further serach and consideration will be required for a conclusion.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Wei Y Zhen/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191